

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 ml

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/846,108	04/25/97	7 KIM	К	
_	LM02/1:		EXAMINER	
LYON & LYON, LLP 633 WEST STH STREET, SUITE 4700			APPIAH	I, C
LOS ANGFLE	S CA 90071-	.EE!, 5011E 4/00 }0071-2066	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	and the second of the second o	ain 14 14 14 14	2745	13
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

12/27/99

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/846,108

Applica (i)

Kim

Examiner

Charles Appiah

Group Art Unit 2745



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 17, 1999				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is closed			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), or thirty longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response vapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the period of the period for response vapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	vill cause the			
Disposition of Claim				
	pending in the applicat			
Of the above, claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
☐ Claims are subject to restriction	n or election requirement.			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved				
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Art Unit: 2745

......

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 11/17/99 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/846,108 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 25-39, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reele et al. (5,893,037).

Regarding claim 25, Reele disclose (with reference to FIG. 5), a portable information communication device for communicating with a remotely located telephone, comprising: a digital camera (camera unit 10 of FIG. 3) and a cellular telephone (cellular phone 28, FIG. 4), electrically connected in a housing (82), with a battery means in the housing for supplying electrical power to the digital camera and the cellular telephone (inherent feature of recharging

Art Unit: 2745

circuitry 74 of FIG. 6, see also col. 6, lines 17-20), means for activating the digital camera for capturing images in view of the housing (see col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 9), and means for activating the cellular telephone for wirelessly communicating with the remotely located telephone by dialing the number of the remotely located telephone (see col. 5, lines 25-32), and when the cellular telephone and the remotely located telephone are telephonically connected, then transmitting the images from the digital camera to the remotely located telephone (see col. 5, lines 32-43).

Regarding claim 26, **Reele** further disclose a digital memory (memory unit 52), for storing the images captured by the digital camera (see col. 5, lines 9-12).

Regarding claim 27, **Reele** further disclose means for selectively causing the stored images to be transmitted to the remotely located telephone (see col. 5, lines 38-43).

Regarding claim 28, **Reele** further disclose means in the housing for receiving sounds and the cellular telephone also wirelessly communicating the sounds to the remotely located telephone (see col. 5, line 63 to col. 6, line 10).

Regarding claims 29-31, Reele further disclose memory means for storing the sounds, means for selectively causing the stored sounds to be transmitted by the cellular telephone to the remotely located telephone and the cellular telephone simultaneously transmits the image and the sounds (see col. 4, lines 33-46 and col. 5, line 63 to col. 6, line 5).

Art Unit: 2745

Regarding claim 37, **Reele** further disclose a jack connection for directly connecting the digital memory to a computer for downloading the stored images from the digital memory (see col. 6, lines 28-32).

Regarding claim 38 and 39 **Reele** inherently teach an audio recorder mounted in the housing and having means for selectively recording audible transmissions to and from the cellular telephone (see col. 6, lines 1-5).

Regarding claims 40 and 41 **Reele** further teach a switch means for manually activating the digital camera without activating the cellular telephone and switch means for manually activating the digital camera and the cellular telephone for both capturing and transmitting the images (see col. 4, line 67 to col. 5, line 33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 5. (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2745

6. Claims 32, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Simms et al. (5,808,564).

Regarding claim 32 and 34 Reele further teach sensor means (sensor 16, FIG. 5), mounted in the housing but fails to specifically disclose that the sensor means is for detecting a sound or movement near the housing and for automatically activating the digital camera upon the occurrence of the detected movement or sound and a switch on the housing for selectively arming the sensor means.

Simms teach a personal security system that have remote sensors (41, of FIG. 4) which automatically initiate an action and a switch for selectively arming the sensors (see col. 6, lines 12-33)

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the above teaching of **Simms** with the system of **Reele** in order to provide arming of the sensors, automatic detection and activation of a wide variety of personal security or emergency situations.

Regarding claim 33, Reele further teach means in the housing for receiving sounds (microphone 64, FIG. 5), and the cellular telephone also wirelessly communicating the sounds to the remotely located telephone (see col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 5), but fails to specifically teach that the sound receiving means is activated when the sensor means detects a sound or motion which is transmitted wirelessly to the remote telephone.

Application/Control Number: 08/846,108

Art Unit: 2745

Simms teach a personal security system that have remote sensors (41, of FIG. 4) which automatically initiate an action upon activation (see col. 6, lines 12-33 and also col. 7, lines 21-56).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the above teaching of **Simms** with the system of **Reele** in order to provide arming of the sensors, automatic detection and activation of a wide variety of personal security or emergency situations.

7. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Villa-Real (4,481,382).

Regarding claim 35, Reele fails to specifically disclose the system comprising an AM/FM radio means mounted in the housing and having controls for selective operation.

Villa-Real teach a programmable telephone system that has an integrated AM/FM radio (see FIG. 4).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of **Villa-Real** with the system of **Reele** for the benefit of providing a multi-functional communication device.

8. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) as applied to claim 25 above.

Regarding claim 36, Reele disclose everything as claimed, as applied to claim 25 above but fail to disclose a microphone and earpiece connected by wire means to the cellular telephone for remotely using the cellular telephone. However, Official Notice is taken that the concept of

Art Unit: 2745

using a microphone and earpiece connected by wire means for remotely using a portable telephone such as a cellular telephone is very well known in the art for hands free operations.

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a separate microphone with an earpiece for conveniently using the above system of **Reele** remotely without undue risk taking by a user in emergency situations.

9. Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Alpert (5,742,666).

Regarding claims 41 and 42 Reele further teach a switch means for manually activating the digital camera and the cellular telephone for both capturing and transmitting the images (see col. 4, line 67 to col. 5, line 33), but fails to specifically teach that activating the switch means also activates an audible alarm mounted in the housing. However, Alpert teach a cellular telephone which also comprises an alarm mechanism (control unit 70, FIG. 3A), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to connect the alarm mechanism as taught by Alpert with the manual switch for providing a means for activating an alarm in emergency situations in the invention of Reele.

10. Claims 44-47, 50-51, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) in view of Alpert (5,742,666).

Regarding claim 44, **Reele** disclose (with reference to FIG. 5), a portable information communication device for communicating with a remotely located telephone, comprising:

Art Unit: 2745

a digital camera (camera 10 of FIG.1), a microphone (62) and a cellular telephone (28) electrically connected and mounted in a housing (82), with battery means in the housing for supplying electrical power to the digital camera, the microphone and the cellular telephone (inherent feature of recharging circuitry 74 of FIG. 6, see also col. 6, lines 17-20), means for activating the digital camera and microphone for capturing images and sounds within a range of the housing (see col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 9), sensor means (sensor unit 16), mounted in the housing for detecting a sound or movement within a predetermined range of the housing (see col. 2, lines 61-63).

Reele additionally teach a means for wirelessly communicating with the remotely located telephone by dialing the number of the remotely located telephone and when the cellular telephone and the remotely connected telephone are telephonically connected, then transmitting the images and sounds from the digital camera and microphone to the remotely located telephone (see (see col. 5, lines 25-43).

Reele does not specifically disclose automatically activating the cellular telephone when the sensor means detects a sound or movement for wirelessly communicating with the remotely located telephone.

Alpert teach a cellular telephone which also comprises an alarm mechanism (control unit 70, FIG. 3A), which automatically places a distress call and sends a message when an emergency is detected (abstract) by an external sensor (see detectors 66, 66a-66d of FIG. 3A).

Art Unit: 2745

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Alpert into the system of Reele, in order to communicate more quickly and accurately in emergency situations.

Regarding claim 45, **Reele** further disclose a digital memory (memory unit 52), for storing the images captured by the digital camera (see col. 5, lines 9-12).

Regarding claim 46, **Reele** further disclose means for selectively causing the stored images and the sounds to be transmitted by the cellular telephone to the remotely located telephone (see col. 4, lines 33-46 and col. 5, line 38 to col. 6, line 5).

Regarding claim 47, **Reele** further disclose a jack connection for directly connecting the digital memory to a computer for downloading the stored images from the digital memory (see col. 6, lines 28-32).

Regarding claim 50, Reele and Alpert disclose everything as claimed, as applied to claim 44 above but fail to disclose a remote microphone and earpiece connected by wire means to the cellular telephone for remotely using the cellular telephone. However, Official Notice is taken that the concept of using a microphone and earpiece connected by wire means for remotely using a portable telephone such as a cellular telephone is very well known in the art for hands free operations. Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a separate microphone with an earpiece for conveniently using the above system of Reele and Alpert remotely without undue risk taking by a user in emergency situations.

Art Unit: 2745

emergency situations.

Regarding claim 51, **Reele** inherently teach an audio recorder means mounted in the housing and having means for selectively recording audible transmissions to and from the cellular telephone (see col. 6, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 52, Reele fails to specifically disclose an alarm means mounted in the housing and being activated to produce an alarm when the sensor means detects a sound or movement.

Alpert teach a cellular telephone which also comprises an alarm mechanism (control unit 70, FIG. 3A), which automatically places a distress call and sends a message when an emergency is detected (abstract) by an external sensor (see detectors 66, 66a-66d of FIG. 3A).

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Alpert into the system of Reele, in order to communicate more quickly and accurately in

Regarding claim 53, Reele teach battery means (as shown by recharging circuit 74 of FIG. 6, see also col. 6, lines 15-20), but fails to specifically teach that the battery means comprises a single battery. However, since Reele teach that the camera and the cellular telephone can be provided as an integrated module (col. 6, lines 15-16), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a single battery means to power the combined camera and cellular telephone in order to reduce circuit components.

11. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Villa-Real (4,481,382).

Art Unit: 2745

Regarding claim 49, Reele fails to specifically disclose the system comprising an AM/FM radio means mounted in the housing and having controls for selective operation.

Villa-Real teach a programmable telephone system that has an integrated AM/FM radio (see FIG. 4).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of **Villa-Real** with the system of **Reele** for the benefit of providing a multi-functional communication device.

12. Claims 54-58-59, 60 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) in view of Alpert (5,742,666) and further in view of Villa-Real (4,481,382).

Regarding claim 54, **Reele** disclose (with reference to FIG. 5), a portable information communication device for communicating with a remotely located telephone, comprising: a digital camera (camera 10 of FIG.1), a microphone (62) and a cellular telephone (28) electrically connected and mounted in a housing (82), with battery means in the housing for supplying electrical power to the digital camera, the microphone and the cellular telephone (inherent feature of recharging circuitry 74 of FIG. 6, see also col. 6, lines 17-20), means for activating the digital camera and microphone for capturing images and sounds within a range of the housing (see col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 9), sensor means (sensor unit 16), mounted in the housing for detecting a sound or movement within a predetermined range of the housing (see col. 2, lines 61-63).

Art Unit: 2745

Reele additionally teach a means for wirelessly communicating with the remotely located telephone by dialing the number of the remotely located telephone and when the cellular telephone and the remotely connected telephone are telephonically connected, then transmitting the images and sounds from the digital camera and microphone to the remotely located telephone (see (see col. 5, lines 25-43), and a jack connection for directly connecting the digital memory to a computer for downloading the stored images from the digital memory (see col. 6, lines 28-32).

Alpert teach a cellular telephone which also comprises an alarm mechanism (control unit 70, FIG. 3A), which automatically places a distress call and sends a message when an emergency is detected (abstract) by an external sensor (see detectors 66, 66a-66d of FIG. 3A).

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Alpert into the system of Reele, in order to communicate more quickly and accurately in emergency situations.

The combination of **Reele** and **Alpert** does not teach an AM/FM radio means mounted in the housing and having controls for selective operation of the AM/FM radio means.

Villa-Real teach a programmable telephone system that has an integrated AM/FM radio (see FIG. 4).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of **Villa-Real** with the system of **Reele** and **Alpert** for the benefit of providing a multi-functional communication device.

Art Unit: 2745

Regarding claims 55 and 56 **Reele** inherently teach an audio recorder mounted in the housing and having means for selectively recording audible transmissions to and from the cellular telephone and selectively recording sounds within a range of the housing (see col. 6, lines 1-5).

Regarding claims 57 and 58 **Reele** further teach a switch means for manually activating the digital camera without activating the cellular telephone and switch means for manually activating the digital camera and the cellular telephone for both capturing and transmitting the images (see col. 4, line 67 to col. 5, line 33).

Regarding claim 59 and 60 the combination of **Reele**, **Alpert** and **Villa-Real** fails to specifically teach that activating the switch means also activates an audible alarm mounted in the housing. However, since **Alpert** teach a cellular telephone which also comprises an alarm mechanism (control unit 70, FIG. 3A), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to connect the alarm mechanism as taught by Alpert with the manual switch for providing a means for activating an alarm in emergency situations in the combination above.

Regarding claim 62, **Reele, Alpert** and **Villa-Real** disclose everything as claimed, as applied to claim 54 above but fail to disclose a microphone and earpiece connected by wire means to the cellular telephone for remotely using the cellular telephone. However, Official Notice is taken that the concept of using a microphone and earpiece connected by wire means for remotely using a portable telephone such as a cellular telephone is very well known in the art for hands free operations. Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a separate microphone with an earpiece for conveniently using the above

Art Unit: 2745

system of Reele Alpert and Villa-Real remotely without undue risk taking by the user in emergency situations.

13. Claims 48 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reele et al. (5,893,037) and Alpert (5,742,666) as applied to claims 44 and 54 above, and further in view of Simms et al. (5,808,564).

Regarding claims 48 and 61 **Reele** further teach sensor means (sensor 16, FIG. 5), mounted in the housing. The combination of **Reele** and **Alpert** fails to specifically disclose that the sensor means is for detecting a sound or movement near the housing and for automatically activating the digital camera upon the occurrence of the detected movement or sound and a switch on the housing for selectively arming the sensor means.

Simms teach a personal security system that have remote sensors (41, of FIG. 4) which automatically initiate an action and a switch for selectively arming the sensors (see col. 6, lines 12-33)

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the above teaching of **Simms** with the system of **Reele** and **Alpert** in order to provide arming of the sensors, automatic detection and activation of a wide variety of personal security or emergency situations.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2745

Simms et al. (5,334,974), teach a personal security system.

Ohnsorge (5,485,504) teach a hand-held radiotelephone with video transmission and display.

Umezawa et al. (5,491,507), disclose a video telephone equipment.

Garrett, Sr. et al. (5,515,285), teach a system for monitoring a vehicle in crisis.

Parulski et al. (5,666,159 and 5,943,603), teach an electronic camera with programmable transmission capability.

Purdy et al. (5,726,660), disclose a personal data unit that can collect video and audio data.

Hull et al. (5,806,005), teach a wireless digital image transfer system.

Moghadam et al. (5,917,542) disclose a system for digital image capture and transmission.

Hackett et al. (5,926,210), disclose a mobile ground-based security system that transmits images.

Turner (6,002,326), teaches an automotive anti-theft system.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Appiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reinhard J. Eisenzopf, can be reached on (703) 305-4711.

Art Unit: 2745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The Group fax number is (703) 305-9508.

Serial Number: 08/846,108

Charles Appiah

December 20, 1999

12/20/99

NGUYEN VO PRIMARY EXAMINER